

THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Case No. 2:12-cv-01282-JLR
Plaintiff,)	
)	DECLARATION OF
v.)	GINO BETTS Jr.
)	
CITY OF SEATTLE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

I, Gino Betts Jr., being familiar with the facts set forth herein based on my knowledge, and being competent to testify, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct:

1. I am, having been nominated by Mayor Bruce Harrell and confirmed by the City Council, the Director of the Office of Police Accountability (OPA). I took the oath of office on October 3, 2022, for a term ending on December 31, 2026. OPA investigates allegations of Seattle Police Department (SPD) employee misconduct, using SPD policy and local, state, and federal laws to recommend findings to the Chief of Police. As OPA Director, I am responsible for establishing and managing processes to initiate, receive, classify, and investigate individual allegations of SPD employee misconduct; promoting public awareness of, full access to, and

1 trust in OPA complaint processing; identifying SPD system improvement needs and
2 recommending practical solutions; and helping reduce misconduct and enhance employee
3 conduct.

4 2. I am a career public servant. I previously served as an attorney at Chicago's
5 Civilian Office of Police Accountability, contributing to several high-profile cases, helping
6 impose accountability, and exposing corruption and misconduct. I have also worked as a Cook
7 County prosecutor, focusing on violent and complex felony cases.

8 3. I am humbled and honored to serve the people of Seattle as the OPA Director.
9 In all my actions as Director, I aim to increase public and police confidence in policing and
10 police oversight. That will be accomplished with timely, thorough, and fair investigations and
11 proactively seeking and valuing the perspectives and insights of communities most impacted by
12 policing— who are often overlooked.

13 4. Since I started at OPA, I have been impressed by the talent and commitment of
14 OPA's employees. Our employees are committed to high-quality investigations that treat
15 officers fairly and reflect evolving community expectations.

16 5. OPA has also made great strides toward addressing recommendations from the
17 Court-appointed Monitor, 21CP Policing Solutions, and the Office of Inspector General for Public
18 Safety. Although those recommendations were made before I joined OPA, I am familiar with
19 OPA's current practices and recent improvements. I am pleased to provide the Court with
20 substantive updates about OPA's implementation efforts and progress.

Monitor’s Assessment of OPA¹

6. In 2020, the Monitoring Team examined the timeliness, quality, integrity, and consistency of a random sample of OPA investigations. Rather than assessing Consent Decree compliance, that review intended to provide information to City stakeholders “about how the OPA, a key component of Seattle’s police accountability system, can continue to improve its performance” (pg. 2). It concluded OPA dramatically reduced untimely investigations and missed no deadline that prevented the imposition of discipline (pg. 2). The Monitor also found OPA maintained quality investigations and applauded the civilianization of supervisor and investigator positions (pp. 2, 5-6). The Assessment concluded with a series of recommendations which are addressed below:

7. *Update Operations and Training Manual—Complete.* The Seattle Police Accountability Ordinance requires the OPA Operations and Training Manual (OPA Manual) be updated “at least annually.” The latest OPA Manual went into effect on January 1, 2022. OPA solicited input from City stakeholders, the Monitor, and DOJ and submitted its revised manual to the Court at docket no. 689-2. The OPA Manual is available at <http://seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/OPA/Policy/2022-OPA-Manual-Final.pdf>

8. *Expand Investigative Staff to Reduce Investigative and Case Closure Delays—Complete.* The Monitoring Team concluded “additional staffing could generally ameliorate investigative delays” and “lower caseloads and assist the OPA in producing faster, more detailed, and more thorough investigations.” Accordingly, OPA added two civilian investigator positions and a position to focus on research and writing. The number of civilians in leadership and

¹ The Monitor’s Assessment was submitted to the Court in 2020 and filed at docket number 604-1. The conclusions and recommendations appear at pages 29-33.

1 supervisor positions also increased (replacing previously sworn positions), and a former position
2 dedicated to research and writing was elevated to general counsel. OPA also added an assistant
3 general counsel, a civilian assistant director of investigations, and two civilian investigation
4 supervisors.

5 9. *Improve Quality of Interviews—Complete.* The Monitoring Team concluded most
6 OPA interviews were thorough and unbiased but would benefit from improved interviewing
7 techniques. It further recommended OPA ensure investigators are adequately trained and critiqued
8 on an ongoing basis. Since that assessment, all OPA investigators must complete rigorous
9 investigative interview training where they are instructed on the Conversation Management
10 Approach. That course is tailored for OPA and provides techniques for investigating police
11 officers. [The Office of Inspector General (OIG) assisted with this training initiative.] OPA also
12 contracted with a highly regarded international investigations consultant to provide investigators
13 with follow-up coaching and performance feedback. Additionally, OPA revised the OPA Manual
14 to include robust interview techniques, guidelines, and tips (pg. 28-33).

15 10. *Access Homicide Unit Documents While the Homicide Investigation is Pending—*
16 *Complete.* Previously, SPD restricted OPA’s access to evidence related to ongoing SPD criminal
17 investigations—even with limitations or redactions. Now, under limited circumstances, if
18 appropriate and necessary, OPA will closely consult with case detectives to ensure the
19 administrative and criminal investigations are uncompromised, thorough, complete, and timely.
20 The new practice is described in OPA’s Manual (pg. 14).

21 11. *Take Additional Steps in Some Biased-based Policing Investigations to Examine*
22 *Officers’ Thought Processes—Complete.* Gathering all relevant information about the named
23 officer’s thought process is critical in bias investigations. That includes skillfully interviewing the

1 named officer and, sometimes, reviewing the officer’s relevant citation history or other
2 discretionary decisions, like looking up license plates. Moreover, OPA has consulted with other
3 civilian oversight agencies in Chicago, Washington D.C., and New York to share best practices
4 and lessons learned regarding these challenging investigations.

5 12. *Create a Single Report to Document All OPA Staff’s Case and Investigative*
6 *Actions—Complete.* The Monitoring Team recommended OPA create one report to capture its
7 administrative, investigative, and supervisory staff’s investigative actions from inception to
8 disposition. OPA now records those investigative steps and summarizes evidence in a Report of
9 Investigation (“ROI”). That report is maintained in OPA’s records management system (“IAPro”)
10 as a single document, along with supporting evidence and case documents.

11 13. *Create an Independent Investigation Plan Form —Complete.* OPA accomplished
12 this through training and revising the OPA Manual (pg. 26-27) to require investigation plans for
13 all investigations.

14 14. *Structure the Case Summary Report and Create Model Reports to Make the Case*
15 *Summary More Useful—Complete.* Revised case summary templates significantly improved
16 report consistency and provided investigators with a template for highlighting relevant and
17 material evidence. Additionally, OPA created model case summary reports to guide new
18 investigators toward meeting OPA report writing standards. OPA also provides annual training
19 covering investigative report writing.

Outside Consultant Recommendations for OPA²

15. In response to the Court’s 2019 ruling holding the City out of compliance in the area of accountability, the City hired 21CP Solutions, Inc. (“21CP”) consultants, specializing in labor law, police discipline, and civilian accountability to assess the City’s accountability system. 21CP identified several areas for improvement. OPA has addressed several 21CP findings. However, three of those findings (described below) require further bargaining with the Seattle Police Officers Guild (SPOG).

16. *When there are Parallel Criminal Proceedings, OPA May Not Get Adequate Time to Investigate* (pg. 35-40)—*Partially Fixed / Partially Subject to Bargaining*. Police union contracts and the Accountability Ordinance require OPA investigations to be completed within 180-days. 21CP examined the 180-day timeline’s impact on discipline. 21CP concluded there was no impact on discipline over the past two years, but that risk exists—particularly when there are parallel criminal proceedings. Subsequently, OPA executed a memorandum of understanding requiring city and county prosecutors to notify OPA when prosecutorial review starts and ends (i.e., when the 180-day clock stops and re-starts). In addition, the recently executed SPMA contract tolls the investigative clock while criminal investigations pend (regardless of where the crime was committed). With that said, I believe it is essential for the current collective bargaining negotiations with SPOG to simplify the unnecessarily complex tolling rules.

17. *Lack of clarity regarding OPA’s ability to obtain records or secure testimony* (pp. 40-45)—*In progress*. SPD policy requires officers to cooperate with OPA investigations, and

² 21CP’s Report was submitted to the Court in 2019 and filed at docket number 598-2. A summary of its findings and recommendations appears at pp. 3-4.

1 21CP could not identify an officer refusing an OPA interview. In addition, OPA has full access to
2 named employees' records. Nevertheless, I consider uncompromised subpoena authority a top
3 priority in the current collective bargaining negotiations with SPOG to ensure OPA has unfettered
4 access to relevant records for investigative purposes.

5 18. *The Public Has Concerns about Lack of Arbitrator Diversity and Impartiality (pp.*
6 *49-51)—State Enacted Legislation Intended to Address.* When 21CP wrote its 2019 report, the
7 City and the unions had just negotiated a new arbitrator pool and selection process. 21CP
8 concluded the pool and process's effectiveness were unproven. 21CP also learned the arbitrators'
9 pool lacked diversity. Subsequently, in 2021, the state legislature enacted a new law creating
10 mandatory arbitration selection procedures for police unions.³ As required, a state commission
11 now appoints a roster of trained, experienced arbitrators to hear police disciplinary appeals. That
12 legislation also recognizes the diversity of career experience and lived experience as qualifications.
13 Moreover, the commission automatically assigns appeals to the next available arbitrator on the list,
14 eliminating negotiations between the parties over arbitrator selection and reducing concerns over
15 impartiality.

16 19. *Arbitrators Apply an "Elevated" Quantum of Proof in the Most Serious Cases (pp.*
17 *51-53)—Fixed in SPMA, Subject to Collective Bargaining With SPOG.* The recently executed
18 SPMA contract directs arbitrators to apply a preponderance of the evidence standard in all
19 disciplinary appeals. I believe it is imperative for the current collective bargaining negotiations
20 with SPOG to adopt that same standard.

21
22
23

³ LAW ENFORCEMENT DISCIPLINARY GRIEVANCE ARBITRATION, SSB 5055.SL.

1 22. *Identify Opportunities for Complainants to Speak with the Chief of Police—In*
2 *progress.* OPA is actively working with SPD to implement this recommendation.

3 23. *Set an Internal Deadline to Provide Closed Case Summaries to Complainants—*
4 *Complete.* OPA strives to do this within 30 days after findings are issued in not sustained cases
5 and within 15 days after discipline is imposed in sustained cases. Those internal deadlines were
6 added to the OPA Manual (pg. 39).

7 24. *Notify Complainants if the Officer Appeals—Complete.* OPA revised its process for
8 notifying complainants about officer appeals. Additionally, OPA reviewed prior cases with then-
9 pending appeals and notified the complainant as appropriate. The current process is increasingly
10 streamlined and efficient.

11 25. *Notify All Parties Affected by Alleged Misconduct, Not Just the Complainants—In*
12 *progress.* OPA is working to implement this recommendation while respecting affected
13 individuals' communication preferences.

14
15 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

16 DATED this 28th day of March 2023 at Seattle, King County, Washington.

17
18 

19 _____
GINO BETTS JR.