

THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
9)	Case No. 2:12-cv-01282-JLR
10	Plaintiff,)	
11	v.)	JOINT MOTION TO APPROVE
12	CITY OF SEATTLE,)	COMPLIANCE AGREEMENT
13)	
14	Defendant.)	NOTE ON MOTION
15)	CALENDAR: March 28, 2023
16)	

14 The City of Seattle and the United States of America jointly move for entry of an Order of
15 Resolution (the Order) and approval of the Agreement on Sustained Compliance (the “Compliance
16 Agreement”). As described in the attached memoranda, the Parties agree that the City has
17 demonstrated sustained compliance with the requirements of the Consent Decree related to use-
18 of-force (exception noted herein), crisis intervention, stops and detentions, bias-free policing,
19 supervision, and the Office of Police Accountability. The Parties also recognize that important
20 work remains in two areas: officers’ use of force in crowd management situations, including how the
21 City and Seattle Police Department (SPD) are addressing uses of force that occurred during the 2020
22 protests and accountability, including the City’s efforts to alleviate concerns identified by the Court
23 in its May 2019 Order. Accordingly, the proposed Order and Compliance Agreement would replace

1 the Consent Decree in order to focus the Parties' efforts on these key areas in which the City is
2 still completing its work.

3 In addressing accountability, the Monitor will conduct a capacity assessment of the City's
4 police accountability system, which includes the Office of Inspector General for Public Safety
5 (OIG), the Office of Police Accountability (OPA), and the Community Police Commission
6 (CPC). In addition, under the Compliance Agreement, the OIG will take on new, substantial
7 obligations as an important step toward assuming the role of the Monitor. It will conduct a Use-
8 of-Force Assessment to examine force used in crisis incidents, the use of less lethal devices, and
9 force used in the crowd management context. The Monitor and the OIG also will collaborate to
10 develop a workplan detailing OIG's approach for ensuring continued robust, independent
11 monitoring of SPD, so it will be well-positioned once federal oversight ends.

12 In addressing crowd management, the City will update its crowd management policy to
13 incorporate ongoing community feedback, and it will ensure SPD officers are appropriately
14 trained on any updates. In the months following the protests, SPD substantially revised its crowd
15 management policies to implement new strategies for crowd de-escalation and crowd
16 management. Since then, SPD has been receiving ongoing feedback on its policies through the
17 community-led process convened by the OIG. Under the Compliance Agreement, SPD will
18 respond to these policy recommendations.

19 SPD will also develop an alternative reporting and review process specific to crowd
20 management situations to address breakdowns in reporting and review of use of force incidents
21 that occurred in 2020. These breakdowns were self-identified by SPD and recognized in the
22 Monitor's May 2022 Comprehensive Assessment. The City will provide drafts of the crowd
23

1 management policy and reporting/review process to the DOJ and Monitor for review. Following
2 that review, the crowd management policy will be submitted to the Court for approval.

3 Finally, the Compliance Agreement provides that the City will continue to ensure that the
4 reforms instituted by the Consent Decree are sustained throughout its duration. To demonstrate
5 sustainment, the City must provide updated outcome measures regarding use of force, crisis
6 intervention, stops and detentions, bias-free policing, and supervision, which will be validated by
7 the OIG.

8 In sum, the proposed Compliance Agreement focuses on outstanding issues related to
9 crowd management and accountability. By centering the Parties' and Monitor's efforts on the
10 areas where work remains to be done, the Compliance Agreement will help to achieve and
11 sustain intended outcomes under the Consent Decree. *See* Consent Decree ¶ 175.

12 Accordingly, and for the reasons set forth in the attached memoranda, the Parties
13 respectfully request that this Court enter the proposed Order and Compliance Agreement.

1 Respectfully submitted,

2 DATED this 28th day of March 2023.

3
4 For the CITY OF SEATTLE

5 ANN DAVISON
6 Seattle City Attorney

7 s/ Kerala T. Cowart
8 Kerala T. Cowart, WSBA #53649

9 s/ Jessica Leiser
10 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349
11 Assistant City Attorneys
12 Seattle City Attorney's Office
13 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
14 Phone: (206) 733-9001
15 Fax: (206) 684-8284
16 Email: kerala.cowart@seattle.gov
17 Email: jessica.leiser@seattle.gov

For the UNITED STATES of AMERICA

TESSA GORMAN
First Assistant United States Attorney
Western District of Washington
Attorney for the United States
Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C § 515

18 s/ Matt Waldrop
19 Matthew Waldrop, Assistant United States Attorney
20 Kerry Keefe, Assistant United States Attorney
21 Rebecca Cohen, Civil Division Chief
22 United States Attorney's Office
23 Western District of Washington
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
Phone: (206) 553-7970
Fax: (206) 553-4073

KRISTEN CLARKE
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

24 s/ Timothy D. Mygatt
25 Steven H. Rosenbaum, Chief
26 Timothy D. Mygatt, Deputy Chief
27 Jeffrey R. Murray, Trial Attorney
28 Katherine Chamblee-Ryan, Trial Attorney
29 United States Department of Justice
30 Civil Rights Division
31 Special Litigation Section
32 950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
33 Washington, DC 20530
Phone: (202) 514-6255